



## **NATURAL GAS EXTRACTION:** ISSUES AND POLICY OPTIONS

By Shannon L. Ferrell (Oklahoma State University) and Larry Sanders (Oklahoma State University)

## SUMMARY

A dialogue among stakeholders is needed to evaluate the policy options available to respond to natural gas extraction issues. Framing the problem in an objective manner is a starting point for this dialogue.

There is public concern about how to extract natural gas resources in a manner that provides additional energy sources while maintaining and enhancing economic development and assuring the protection of natural resources for safe use by humans, flora and fauna. These concerns include how to best manage potential impacts to the environment and communities arising from the development of natural gas resources.

• overnments can maintain the status quo, become more involved, or become less involved. Status quo policy involves rules across all levels of government and results reached by the market. More involvement can include higher levels of regulation, fees or taxes, oversight, research, or outright moratoria or bans. Less involvement can include lower levels of each or elimination of intervention. Maintaining the status quo will likely continue current low natural gas prices, increase gas supplies, and lead to stable or rising reliance on gas by consumers. Questions would remain as to whether energy will remain available at affordable prices. Businesses linked to the gas industry could gain, but others might be "crowded out." Governments would feel pressure to address many gas-related issues, and would need to increase revenues or shift spending toward those ends. There would be continued uncertainty about environmental impacts. If concerns regarding environmental and community impacts prove unfounded, public costs will have been contained and economic development unaffected. If these concerns are well-founded, existing policies will be too little too late, possibly resulting in serious harm to the environment and possibly leaving bankrupt communities to deal with the consequences.

Increasing involvement will likely increase the cost of natural gas and raise energy costs generally, at least in the near term. Improvements in technology might allow for natural gas extraction with fewer real and perceived threats to environmental quality. To fund increased involvement, new or increased severance taxes could be imposed, and these funds could address a range of natural gas extraction impacts and/ or fund economic development to mitigate the "boom/bust" cycle. Research suggests severance taxes may not slow extraction rates if extraction technology outpaces the effects of the tax. There will also be barriers to economic development; for communities with few options, this could be devastating. If environmental concerns prove unfounded, many will consider the expense a waste and be frustrated at the high opportunity cost. However, if the concerns are justified over time, the benefits to the environmental assets protected could be well worth the expense.

Less government involvement will likely reduce natural gas prices and increase supply. If energy use picks up, an earlier peak in supply availability may occur, resulting in higher prices. Less government involvement could result in lower taxes and increased economic development opportunities. If concerns about environmental impacts are unfounded, there will be few or no adverse impacts to the environment and communities. However, if those concerns are justified, the environmental harm could be irreversible for centuries. The economic benefits to some communities may not justify the losses related to environmental contamination.

If consensus has been found among any of these issues, it is that much more research is needed to objectively and scientifically quantify both the environmental and long-range economic impacts of natural gas development. Dozens of issues surround natural gas extraction, and many of these issues are discussed in the NARDeP Natural Gas Extraction Policy Paper and Policy Alternatives Matrix. The following is a selection of some of these issues, along with some of the policy alternatives available to address them.

Environmental Issues General environmental Water quality and quantity		antity	Air	Solid waste	Liability
<ul> <li>Moratoria</li> <li>Increased research</li> <li>Siting requirements</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Quantity &amp; source regulation</li> <li>Secondary containment requirements</li> <li>Restrict frack fluid components</li> <li>Well construction &amp; operating requirements</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Fluid component disclosure requirements</li> <li>Wastewater treatment standards</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>"Green completion" requirements</li> <li>Emission limits for NGE equipment</li> <li>Closed vessel storage of fluids</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>RCRA/CERCLA applied to E&amp;P waste</li> <li>NORM-specific handling requirements</li> </ul>	Limit dischargeability of environmental liability

Abbreviations: CERCLA: Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act / E&P: Exploration and Production / NGE: Natural Gas Extraction / NORM: Naturally Occurring Radioactive Material / RCRA: Resource Conservation and Recovery Act /

## **Community Issues**

Financing governmental functions	Planning for community needs	Housing	Sociological impacts	Landowner issues	Education
tax edu Fixed severance tax Pu Resource trust fund & pu Voluntary mitigation Soc fund cur	<ul> <li>Stakeholder</li> <li>education programs</li> <li>Public deliberation</li> <li>&amp; program</li> <li>Social activities for</li> <li>current and new</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Community built housing</li> <li>Developer-built housing</li> <li>Education efforts for local housing providers</li> <li>Streamline approval and permitting process</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Community         <ul> <li>engagement</li> <li>On-site substance                  abuse programs</li> <li>Public/private                  partnership sexual                  behavior programs</li> <li>Youth support                  programs for crime                  prevention</li> <li>Group support /                  therapy programs</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Visual/aesthetic/noise mitigation requirements</li> <li>Siting requirements/ setbacks</li> <li>Voluntary mitigation efforts</li> <li>Surface damage compensation requirements</li> <li>Landowner education programs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Temp facilities / alt sched / online / home</li> <li>Workforce availability</li> </ul>
	residents				<ul> <li>Enhance training by public/private training programs</li> <li>Transportation</li> <li>Fees / voluntary repair funds</li> </ul>

The POLICY BRIEFS are published by the National Agricultural & Rural Development Policy Center (NARDeP) after a blind peer review process. NARDeP was formed by the Regional Rural Development Centers in response to the increasingly contentious and complex agricultural and rural development policy issues facing the U.S. NARDeP is funded by USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA) under a competitive grant (Number 2012-70002-19385), and works with the land-grant college and university system and other national organizations, agencies, and experts to develop and deliver timely policy-relevant information. NARDeP is an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer. For information about NARDeP, visit the website: nardep.info.

